Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/12/05 : CIA-RDP90M00004R001000050012-9 OFFICE OF CONGRESSIONAL AFFAIRS **Routing Slip** ACTION INFO 1. D/OCA 2. DD/Legislation XX3. DD/Senate Affairs 4. Ch/Senate Affairs 5. DD/House Affairs 6. Ch/House Affairs 7. Admin Officer 8. Executive Officer 9. FOIA Officer 10. Constituent Inquiries Officer 11. 12. SUSPENSE 31 July 37 Date Action Officer: RECLIVED IN RUGISTRY 31 July 37 Remarks: STAT 31 Jul 37 Name/Date

STAT



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

July 27, 1987

SPECIAL AFFAIRS

87 - 3282

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

TO:

Legislative Liaison Officer -

Department of Agriculture-Brian Stangeland-447-7095

Department of Commerce-Michael Levitt-377-3151

Department of Defense-Sam Brick-697-1305

Department of Education-Jack Kristy-732-2670

Department of Energy-Bob Rabben-586-6718

Department of Health and Human Services-F White-245-7760

Department of Housing and Urban Development-E. Murphy-

755-7093

Department of the Interior-Philip Kiko-343-6706

Department of Justice-John Bolton-633-2141

Department of Labor-Seth Zinman-523-8201

Department of State-Lee Ann Howdershell-647-4463

Department of Transportation-Tom Herlihy-366-9293

Department of the Treasury-Rick Carro-566-8523

Council of Economic Advisers

Agency for International Development

Central Intelligence Agency

Environmental Protection Agency

General Services Administration

National Aeronautics and Space Administration-J. Murphy-

453-1948

Office of Personnel Management-Jim Woodruff-632-5524 Small Business Administration-Clifford Downen-653-7581

U.S. Information Agency

Veterans Administration-Donald Ivers-233-3832

U.S. Postal Service-Fred Eggleston-268-2958

Postal Rate Commission

SUBJECT: OPM testimony on H.R. 2487, "Federal Employees Leave Act of 1987."

The Office of Management and Budget requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

A response to this request for your views is needed no later than Thursday, July 30, 1987. Hearing is August 4, 1987.

Questions should be referred to Hilda Schreiber (395-7362), the legislative analyst in this office.

Naomi R. Sweeney for Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

Enclosures

STATEMENT OF HONORABLE JAMES E. COLVARD, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

at a hearing of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS COMMITTEE ON POST OFFICE AND CIVIL SERVICE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

On

H.R. 2487

THE FEDERAL EMPLOYEES LEAVE ACT OF 1987

AUGUST 4, 1987

MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE:

THANK YOU FOR INVITING ME TO APPEAR THIS AFTERNOON TO PRESENT THE VIEWS OF THE OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT ON H.R. 2487, THE "FEDERAL EMPLOYEES LEAVE ACT OF 1987."

H.R. 2487 WOULD REQUIRE OPM TO ESTABLISH A 5-YEAR EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM UNDER WHICH EMPLOYEES COULD VOLUNTARILY TRANSFER ANNUAL LEAVE TO OTHER EMPLOYEES WHO NEED LEAVE BECAUSE OF A MEDICAL OR FAMILY EMERGENCY OR OTHER HARDSHIP SITUATION REQUIRING THE EMPLOYEE'S ABSENCE FROM DUTY.

THE OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT STRONGLY SUPPORTS THE PURPOSE OF THIS BILL, ALTHOUGH WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOME MODIFICATIONS IN CERTAIN PROVISIONS.

....

AS THE COMMITTEE IS WELL AWARE, OPM IS NOW CONDUCTING A LEAVE TRANSFER EXPERIMENT, UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF LAST FALL'S CONTINUING RESOLUTION. THE LAW LIMITS THIS EXPERIMENT TO THREE INDIVIDUALS, SO MUCH OF OUR START-UP WORK HAS HAD TO CONCENTRATE ON THE SELECTION OF THE THREE CASES. WE KNEW THIS WOULD BE DIFFICULT, BUT WE REALLY HAD NOT EXPECTED IT TO BE QUITE AS DIFFICULT AS IT TURNED OUT TO BE.

ALTHOUGH THERE WAS NOT A LOT OF PUBLICITY, WE RECEIVED 242 APPLICATIONS, FROM 32 DIFFERENT AGENCIES. IN ALMOST EVERY CASE, THE PERSONAL HARDSHIP WAS VERY REAL, AND AGENCY MANAGEMENT AND THE INDIVIDUAL'S FELLOW EMPLOYEES SHARED AN EAGERNESS TO HELP. WE HAD ANTICIPATED AGENCY CONCERNS OVER THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROBLEMS OF TRANSFERRING LEAVE, BUT INSTEAD WE FOUND MANAGERS GENERALLY WERE RAGER TO TAKE ON WHATEVER PAPERWORK BURDEN WAS INVOLVED IN ORDER TO HELP OUT THE EMPLOYEE. FELLOW EMPLOYEES WERE OVERWHELMINGLY READY TO SACRIFICE SOME OF THEIR OWN LEAVE TO PROVIDE INCOME FOR A MEEDY CO-WORKER DURING A TIME OF PERSONAL EMERGENCY. WHILE WE CERTAINLY EXPECTED TO BE ABLE TO FIND THREE WORTHY CASES, ALMOST EVERY CASE THAT CAME IN WAS WORTHY. AGENCY MANAGERS AND EMPLOYEES ALIKE SHOWED GREAT ENTHUSIASM FOR THE PROGRAM.

THEREFORE, EVEN THOUGH WE HAVE NOT COMPLETED THE CURRENT EXPERIMENT, WE BELIEVE THAT WE MUST SUPPORT BROADENING THIS PROGRAM TO APPLY WHEREVER IT IS MEEDED. SINCE H.R. 2487 PERMITS THE TRANSFER OF ANNUAL LEAVE ONLY, AND NOT SICK LEAVE, IT IS OBVIOUS THAT ANY COSTS WOULD BE MINIMAL ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS, AND THAT AGENCIES ARE PREPARED TO ABSORB THESE COSTS. AND IT IS ALSO OBVIOUS THAT THIS PROGRAM OFFERS AN UNUSUAL OPPORTUNITY FOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES TO SHARE IN DOING SOMETHING, IN AN IMMEDIATE AND PERSONAL WAY, THAT WILL HELP NEEDY CO-WORKERS AND THEIR PAMILIES.

HOWEVER, WE MUST ALSO RECOGNIZE THAT THIS IS A VERY NEW AND UNTRIED CONCEPT, AND WE ARE NOT SURE WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE PROBLEMS MAY ARISE IN ITS ACTUAL OPERATION. FOR THAT REASON, WE ARE PLEASED THAT H.R. 2487 ESTABLISHES THIS PROGRAM AS A FIVE-YEAR EXPERIMENT. IN REEPING WITH THIS EXPERIMENTAL NATURE OF THE PROGRAM, WE BELIEVE MORE PLEXIBILITY SHOULD BE PERMITTED IN CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE PROGRAM:

- THE REQUIREMENT THAT A LEAVE RECIPIENT HAVE EXHAUSTED NOT ONLY SICK AND ANNUAL LEAVE TO HIS CREDIT BUT ALSO "OTHERWISE AVAILABLE" LEAVE--PRESUMABLY ADVANCED LEAVE --MAY BE UNNECESSARILY RESTRICTIVE, PARTICULARLY IN VIEW OF WIDE AGENCY VARIATIONS IN POLICIES ON ADVANCE-MENT OF LEAVE.
- THE REQUIREMENT THAT LEAVE BE TRANSFERRED BETWEEN AGENCIES MAY PRESENT SERIOUS ADMINISTRATIVE DIFFICULTIES, AND, JUDGING FROM WHAT WE'VE SEEN SO FAR IN OUR LIMITED EXPERIMENT, WOULD BE UNNECESSARY IN MOST

CASES, SINCE EVEN RELATIVELY SMALL OFFICES HAVE PRODUCED OFFERS OF MORE THAN ENOUGH DONATED LEAVE. WE WOULD SUGGEST THAT OPM BE GIVEN AUTHORITY TO PERMIT INTERAGENCY LEAVE TRANSFERS, BUT THAT THEY NOT BE REQUIRED.

THE PROVISIONS OF THE BILL ON LEAVE RESTORATION PRESENT THE MOST SERIOUS ADMINISTRATIVE QUESTIONS, IN THE SAME WAY THEY DO IN OUR CURRENT EXPERIMENT. LEAVE IS NORMALLY EARNED AND USED IN ONE-HOUR SEGMENTS, AND IT IS UNCLEAR TO US WHETHER THE BILL WOULD ADHERE TO THIS PRINCIPLE OR WOULD REQUIRE RESTORATION OF SMALL FRACTIONS OF AN HOUR. INTERAGENCY RESTORATIONS WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT, AS WOULD RESTORATIONS TO EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE SEPARATED OR RETIRED. WE SUGGEST THE BILL BE REVISED TO GIVE OPM AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE FOR RESTORATION OF UNUSED DONATED LEAVE, TO THE EXTENT ADMINISTRATIVELY FEASIBLE, RATHER THAN TO REQUIRE RESTORATION.

WE BELIEVE THAT IT WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE TO INCLUDE THE POSTAL SERVICE IN THE OPM-ADMINISTERED LEAVE TRANSFER PROGRAM, SINCE THE POSTAL SERVICE LEAVE SYSTEM IS NOT SUBJECT TO TITLE 5 OR TO OPM'S LEAVE REGULATIONS IN GENERAL. WE WOULD DEFER TO THE POSTAL SERVICE AS TO WHETHER THEY SHOULD HAVE THEIR OWN LEAVE TRANSFER PROGRAM.

. 07/24/87

17:14 OPM - REG'L OPNS

NO. 003

-5-

FINALLY, THE BILL WOULD AUTHORIZE A FIVE-YEAR PROGRAM OF EXPERIMENTS WITH THE CONCEPT OF PROVIDING ADDITIONAL ANNUAL LEAVE TO EMPLOYEES AS A MEANS OF RECOGNIZING OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCE OR OTHER ACCOMPLISHMENTS. OPM'S EXISTING DEMON-STRATION PROJECT AUTHORITY UNDER CHAPTER 47 OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, DOES NOT PERMIT EXPERIMENTS IN THIS AREA, AND WE BELIEVE THIS IS AN ATTRACTIVE CONCEPT WORTH TRYING. HOWEVER, IN ORDER TO ENSURE A DIVERSITY OF WELL-PLANNED EXPERIMENTS, WE BELIEVE THE LANGUAGE IN THE BILL SHOULD BE REVISED TO PROVIDE FOR OPM PARTICIPATION IN THE PLANNING AND APPROVAL FOR BACH EXPERIMENT, SIMILAR TO THE CHAPTER 47 AUTEORITY.

WITH THESE MINOR CHANGES IN H.R. 2487, WE WOULD ENTHUSIASTI-CALLY SUPPORT THE BILL.

THANK YOU. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.